ALL Application N Applicant(s) 09/508.866 HOTTNER, MARTIN Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Jenna-Leigh Befumo 1771 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jenna-Leigh Befumo. (2) Kevin Boland. Date of Interview: 27 May 2003. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: JP 03-174051. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed claims to correct 112 issues in the claims. Discussed whether JP 03-174051 actually taught a breathable fabric and even if the fabric was breathable would JP-03-174051 suggest the recited waterproof seams. Examiner suggested that the Applicant point to specific passages in the reference which would show that the reference isn't breathable or that the seams wouldn't be waterproof as recited in the response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required